Smt. B. M. Ruia Girl's College Gamdevi Mumbai 400 007

1. Topics were covered as per the Syllabus

Row Labels	adequate	canont say	inadequate	More than adequate	Partially	Satisfactorily	Thoroughly	Grand Total
No of Responses	179	42	25	212	11	22	58	549
% of Responses	32.60	7.65	4.55	38.61	2.0	4.0	10.56	100

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

Row Labels	adequate	difficult	easy	inadequate	manageable	More than adequate	very difficult	Grand Total
No of Responses	2	45	269	1	120	88	23	548
% of Responses	0.36	8.21	49.09	0.18	21.90	16.06	4.20	100.00

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

Row Labels	55 to 70 %	70 to 85 %	85 to 100%	difficult	easy	less than 55 %	manageable	Grand Total
No of Responses	42	82	303	2	49	33	40	551
% of Responses	7.62	14.88	54.99	0.36	8.89	5.99	7.26	100.00

How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

Row Labels	70 to 85 %	85 to 100%	adequate	inadequate	more than adequate	very poor	Grand Total
No of Responses	23	68	222	21	185	30	549
% of Responses	4.19	12.39	40.44	3.83	33.70	5.46	100.00

4. What is your material about the library material and facilities for the course?

Row Labels	easily	inadequate	more than adequate	not available at all	with great difficulty	with some difficulty	Grand Total
No of Responses	297	3	88	17	15	128	548
% of Responses	54.20	0.55	16.06	3.10	2.74	23.36	100.00

5. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

Row Labels	easily	indifferently	poorly	satisfactorily	thoroughly	with some difficulty	Grand Total
No of Responses	88	23	42	136	258	3	550
% of Responses	16.00	4.18	7.64	24.73	46.91	0.55	100.00

6. How well did the faculty prepare for the classes?						
Row Labels	Always effective	generally ineffective	just satisfactorily	satisfactorily	sometimes effective	thoroughly	Grand Total
No of Responses	289	28	42	2	101	89	551
% of Responses	52.45	5.08	7.62	0.36	18.33	16.15	100.00

7. How well was the faculty able to communicate?						
Row Labels	always	Always effective	mostly yes	not at all	sometimes	sometimes effective	Grand Total
No of Responses	77	81	266	37	81	10	552
% of Responses	13.95	14.67	48.19	6.70	14.67	1.81	100.00

8. How far the faculty encourages student participation in the class?						
Row Labels	did not encourage	encourage discussion outside class	encouraged through raised questions	get involved in discussion	mostly yes	sometimes	Grand Total
No of Responses	41	13	267	127	90	1	539
% of Responses	7.61	2.41	49.54	23.56	16.70	0.19	100.00

9. If Yes, which of the following methods were used?						
Row Labels	did not advise	encouraged through raised questions	get involved in discussion	not at all helpful	sometimes helpful	very helpful	Grand Total
No of Responses	36	89	2	13	113	295	548
% of Responses	6.57	16.24	0.36	2.37	20.62	53.83	100.00

10. How helpful was the faculty in advising?							
Row Labels	always courteous	always indifferent	cannot say	not at all helpful	sometimes helpful	sometimes rude	very helpful	Grand Total
No of Responses	264	30	42	1	2	120	88	547
% of Responses	48.26	5.48	7.68	0.18	0.37	21.94	16.09	100.00

11. The Faculty's approach can best be described as ""..""									
Row Labels	always courteous	always fair	always fair, sometimes fair	always indifferent	cannot say	sometimes fair	sometimes rude	sometimes unfair	usually unfair	Grand Total
No of Responses	86	336	1	1	1	31	3	66	21	546
% of Responses	15.75	61.53	0.18	0.18	0.18	5.67	0.54	12.08	3.84	100

12. Internal Assessment was							
Row Labels	always fair	discouraging	helps to improve	no special effect	sometimes effective	sometimes fair	usually unfair	Grand Total
No of Responses	82	53	348	39	16	2	7	547
% of Responses	14.99	9.69	63.62	7.13	2.93	0.37	1.28	100.00

13. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?							
Row Labels	helps to improve	no special effect	often / late	regularly / in time	sometimes effective	with helpful comment	without any comments	Grand Total
No of Responses	89	1	30	278	1	109	39	547
% of Responses	16.27	0.18	5.48	50.82	0.18	19.93	7.13	100.00

14. How often did the faculty provide feedback on your performance?						
Row Labels	not discussed at all	regularly in time	sometimes discussed	with helpful comment	Yes, fully	yes, partly	Grand Total
No of Responses	23	88	35	3	332	68	549
% of Responses	4.19	16.03	6.38	0.55	60.47	12.39	100.00

15. Were your assignments discussed with you?				
Row Labels	no	yes	Yes, fully	yes, partly	Grand Total
No of Responses	60	393	87	4	544
% of Responses	11.03	72.24	15.99	0.74	100.00

16. were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?			
Row Labels	no	yes	Grand Total
No of Responses	1	90	91
% of Responses	1.10	98.90	100.00

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?			
Row Labels	no	yes	Grand Total
No of Responses	1	90	91
% of Responses	1.10	98.90	100.00