1. Topics were covered as per the Syllabus												
Row Labels adequate cannot say inadequate More than Partially Poorly Satisfactorily Thoroughly Grand												
adequate Total												
No. of Responses	496	68	36	536	6	1	4	145	1292			
% of Responses	38.39	5.26	2.79	41.49	0.46	0.08	0.31	11.22	100.00			

2. Background for be	2. Background for benefiting from the course was												
Row Labels	Row Labels adequate cannot say difficult easy inadequate manageable More than very Grand												
	adequate difficult Total												
No. of Responses	35	4	96	685	5	333	115	36	1309				
% of Responses	2.67	0.31	7.33	52.33	0.38	25.44	8.79	2.75	100				

3. Was the course eas	3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?												
Row Labels	Row Labels 55 to 70 % 70 to 85 % 85 to 100% difficult easy less than 55 % manageable very difficult Grand												
	Total												
No. of Responses	123	342	668	7	108	22	43	1	1314				
% of Responses	9.36	26.03	50.84	0.53	8.22	1.67	3.27	0.08	100.00				

4. How much of the sy	4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?												
Row Labels 55 to 70 % 70 to 85 % 85 to 100% adequate inadequate less than more than very poor Grand Total													
						55 %	adequate						
No. of Responses	7	28	119	507	109	5	403	134	1312				
% of Responses	% of Responses 0.53 2.13 9.07 38.64 8.31 0.38 30.72 10.21 100.00												

5. What is your r	5. What is your material about the library material and facilities for the course?													
Row Labels	adequate	easily	inadequate	more than adequate	not available at all	very poor	with great difficulty	with some difficulty	Grand Total					
No. of Responses	68	723	8	71	37	12	30	355	1304					
% of Responses	6 of Responses 5.21 55.4 0.61 5.44 2.83 0.92 2.30 27.22 100													

6. To what ext	6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?												
Row Labels easily indifferently not available poorly satisfactorily throughly with great difficulty with some Grand													
			at all					difficulty	Total				
No. of Responses	97	23	2	25	438	666	3	57	1311				
% of Responses	7.40	1.75	0.15	1.91	33.41	50.80	0.23	4.35	100				

7. How well o	7. How well did the faculty prepare for the classes?											
Row Labels Always generally indifferently just poorly satisfactorily sometimes thoroughly Grand Total												
	effective	ineffective		satisfactorily			effective					
No. of Responses	788	27	2	98	3	24	241	130	1313			
% of Responses 60.02 2.06 0.15 7.46 0.23 1.83 18.35 9.90 100												

8. How well v	8. How well was the faculty able to communicate?											
Row Labels	always	Always effective	generally ineffective	just satisfactorily	mostly yes	not at all	sometimes	sometimes effective	Grand Total			
No. of Responses	210	126	2	7	637	40	267	24	1313			
% of Responses 15.99 9.60 0.15 0.53 48.51 3.05 20.34 1.83 100												

9. How far th	9. How far the faculty encourages student participation in the class?												
Row Labels always did not encourage discussion encouraged through get involved mostly not at sometimes Grand													
		encourage	outside class	raised questions	in discussion	yes	all		Total				
No. of Responses	10	34	50	630	426	109	2	38	1299				
% of Responses 0.77 2.62 3.85 48.50 32.79 8.39 0.15 2.93 100													

10. If Yes, wh	10. If Yes, which of the following methods were used?												
Row Labels did not did not encourage discussion encouraged through get involved not at all sometimes very Grand													
	advise	encourage	outside class	raised questions	in discussion	helpful	helpful	helpful	Total				
No. of Responses	20	4	11	106	38	25	238	870	1312				
% of Responses	1.52	0.30	0.84	8.08	2.90	1.91	18.14	66.31	100				

11. How helpful was the faculty in advising?												
Row Labels	always courteous	always indifferent	cannot say	did not advise	not at all helpful	sometimes helpful	sometimes rude	very helpful	Grand Total			
No. of Responses	813	59	70	4	2	26	198	127	1299			
% of Responses 62.59 4.54 5.39 0.31 0.15 2.00 15.24 9.78 100												

12. The Facult	12. The Faculty's approach can best be described as """											
Row Labels always always always cannot sometimes sometimes usually unfair Grand												
	courteous fair indifferent say fair rude unfair Total											
No. of Responses	119	881	5	5	113	30	106	48	1307			
% of Responses	9.10	67.41	0.38	0.38	8.65	2.30	8.11	3.67	100			

13. Internal Assessment was ""									
Row Labels	always fair	discouraging	helps to	no special	sometimes	sometimes	sometimes	usually	Grand Total
			improve	effect	effective	fair	unfair	unfair	
No. of Responses	125	55	965	47	80	10	22	2	1306
% of Responses	9.57	4.21	73.89	3.60	6.13	0.77	1.68	0.15	100

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?									
Row Labels	discouraging	helps to	no special	often /	regularly /	sometimes	with helpful	without any	Grand Total
		improve	effect	late	in time	effective	comment	comments	
No. of Responses	26	122	3	38	710	8	344	49	1300
% of Responses	2.00	9.38	0.23	2.92	54.62	0.62	26.46	3.77	100

15. How often did the faculty provide feedback on your performance?									
Row Labels	not discussed	often /	regularly /	sometimes	with helpful	without any	Yes,	yes, partly	Grand Total
	at all	late	in time	discussed	comment	comments	fully		
No. of Responses	25	13	110	74	30	6	902	148	1308
% of Responses	1.91	0.99	8.41	5.66	2.29	0.46	68.96	11.31	100

16. Were your assignments discussed with you?							
Row Labels	no	not discussed at all	sometimes discussed	yes	Yes, fully	yes, partly	Grand Total
No. of Responses	156	2	3	980	144	10	1295
% of Responses	12.05	0.15	0.23	75.68	11.12	0.77	100.00

17. were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the						
beginning?						
Row Labels no yes Grand Total						
No. of Responses 160 1131 1291						
% of Responses 12.39 87.6 100						